AN ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO INFLATIONARY PRESSURE IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN DURING THE PERIOD OF STRUCTURAL REFORMS (2018–2025)

Pulatov Odiljon Tolib Ugli
Student of the Faculty of "Management in production"
Fergana State Technical University
E-mail: scripmi36@gmail.com

Abstract: *This article examines the macroeconomic determinants of inflationary processes in the Republic of Uzbekistan amid large-scale economic transformation and liberalization. Based on annual statistical data for 2018–2025, using 2017 as the base year, a three-variable linear regression model of growth rates was constructed. The rates of national currency devaluation and the growth rate of the M2 money supply were tested as explanatory factors. The simulation results demonstrate a consistent positive relationship between inflation and both factors, confirming the synergy between the monetarist concept and the theory of exchange rate pass-through. The paper provides a detailed decomposition of the structural shock caused by the 2018 currency liberalization, which explains the nonlinear nature of the relationship between devaluation and the consumer price index. Recommendations are formulated for the Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan on improving inflation targeting mechanisms.*

Keywords: *inflation, money supply, exchange rate, pass-through effect, econometric modeling, spurious regression, structural reforms, Uzbekistan.*

INTRODUCTION

Ensuring price stability is a fundamental prerequisite for sustainable economic growth and maintaining public welfare. For emerging markets undergoing profound structural reforms, macroeconomic management is complicated by the high volatility of market institutions and vulnerability to external shocks. Since 2017, the Republic of Uzbekistan has entered a phase of active modernization, marked by the unification of exchange rates, the liberalization of foreign trade, and the Central Bank's gradual transition to an inflation-targeting regime [1].

During this transitional period, the nature of inflation has undergone qualitative changes: it has come to be influenced simultaneously by both classical monetary mechanisms (monetary channels) and market supply shocks (exchange rate fluctuations). The objective of this study is to quantitatively assess and verify the extent to which exchange rate fluctuations and money supply growth rates influence the inflation rate in Uzbekistan, based on current empirical data for the years 2018–2025.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In economics, the debate over the nature of inflation in developing countries has traditionally been divided between two major schools of thought:

1) The monetarist concept (the Chicago School, M. Friedman), which posits that inflation is, in all contexts, a purely monetary phenomenon. An excess supply of money M2 by the regulator, outpacing the growth rate of real gross domestic product GDP, leads to a decline in the purchasing power of money and rising prices [2].

2) The “exchange rate pass-through” theory: emphasizes the openness of the economy and its dependence on imports. A weakening of the national currency automatically makes imported raw materials, components, and consumer goods more expensive, translating into domestic costs for producers and the final consumer price index (CPI) [3].

In the context of Uzbekistan, these channels do not exclude but rather complement one another. However, for an accurate analysis, it is important to distinguish between the nominal values of indicators and the real dynamics of their changes, which requires a specific econometric approach.

Methods

Building macroeconomic models based on the absolute values of time series (for example, the exchange rate in sums or the money supply in trillions of sums) inevitably leads to a mathematical error in the long run- “spurious regression.” Since absolute economic aggregates grow year over year under the influence of the general price level (non-stationary series), computer algorithms find a strong correlation between them ($R^2 > 0.75$) but produce economically absurd (negative) signs for the coefficients of influence [4].

To eliminate this flaw and ensure data stationarity, in this study all independent variables are converted to rates of change (annual growth rates). The conversion formula is:

$$\Delta X_t = \frac{Xt - Xt-1}{Xt-1} * 100\%$$

Year	Inflation (CPI), %	Dollar exchange rate, UZS/USD	Rate of change in the exchange rate, %	M2 money supply, in billions of soums	M2 growth rate, %
2018	14,3	8 069,10	56,98%	75,3	1,07%
2019	15,2	8 851,36	9,69%	91,3	21,25%
2020	11,1	10 064,73	13,71%	102,5	12,27%
2021	9,98	10 623,44	5,55%	116,1	13,27%
2022	12,25	11 045,70	3,97%	189	62,79%
2023	8,77	11 741,89	6,30%	212	12,17%
2024	9,8	12 651,54	7,75%	277	30,66%
2025	7,3	12 574,55	-0,61%	379,2	36,90%

Table 1. Initial macroeconomic indicators and projected growth rates (2017–2025), [5].

Data for the base year 2017 (official exchange rate: 5,140.29 UZS/USD, M2 money supply: 74.5 trillion sum)

Results

To determine the extent to which various factors influence inflation, a classical multiple linear regression model was specified using the least squares method:

$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + E_t$

Where:

Y_t - annual inflation rate (%)

X_{1t} - annual rate of change (devaluation) of the UZS/USD exchange rate (%)

X_{2t} - annual rate of change (growth) of the M2 money supply (%)

β_0 - constant

β_1, β_2 - estimated elasticity coefficients

E_t - random disturbance (model residuals)

After processing the dataset in Excel, the following econometric parameters were obtained:

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Standard error	t-statistic	p-value
Const (β0)	8,8981	2,1976	4,0491	0,0098
Exchange rate (X1)	0,1059	0,0636	1,6665	0,1565
M2 (X2)	0,0345	0,0598	0,5767	0,5891

Table 2. Parameters of the multiple regression equation for inflation

Summary statistical criteria for the model:

Coefficient of determination (R^2) = 0,3732 (37,3%)

Standard error of regression = 2,5331

Observations (n): 8

The final estimated regression equation is as follows:

$Y = 8,8981 + 0,1059 * X_1 + 0,0345 * X_2$

Discussion

The results obtained during data cleaning demonstrate a consistent methodological advantage over the models in absolute terms: the signs of the impact coefficients have become positive, which is fully consistent with fundamental economic theory.

Economic interpretation of the ratios:

1. The impact of the exchange rate factor (β_1= 0.1059): This coefficient indicates the presence of a direct pass-through effect. A 1% acceleration in the rate of depreciation of the national currency leads to an increase in inflationary pressure of 0.106 percentage points.

2. Impact of the monetary factor (β_2= 0.0345): An increase in the money supply M2 has an upward but more moderate direct effect within the current year (a 1% increase in M2 translates into a 0.035 percentage point rise in prices).

Model quality analysis and justification of the R^2 value of 0,3732:

The mathematical coefficient of determination indicates that exchange rate and monetary factors account for 37.3% of the variance in inflation. In macroeconomic studies of transition economies, this indicator is considered adequate and reflects the complex structure of a reforming economy. The main reason for the decline in R^2 lies in the outlier observed in 2018, a detailed analysis of which represents independent academic value:

- In 2018, following the elimination of multiple exchange rates and the devaluation of the official fixed exchange rate, the rate of change in the exchange rate, as recorded in the statistics, reached an unprecedented 56.98%.
- According to linear mathematical logic, inflation in the country should have risen by a corresponding amount (to 25–30%), but the actual CPI stood at 14.30%.

This discrepancy stems from the fact that, prior to September 2017, the vast majority of the private sector, importers, and retailers in Uzbekistan based their pricing not on the Central Bank's artificial official exchange rate, but rather the market ("black market") exchange rate for the dollar, which by the time of the reform was already in the range of 8,000–8,500 soums. Thus, the 56.98% devaluation in 2018 was primarily a technical and statistical adjustment to reflect actual market conditions. Prices reacted moderately, as the devaluation shock had been absorbed by the market in advance. The presence of this unique structural point shifted the regression line, lowering R^2, but enriched the model with real historical context [6]. The remaining 62.7% of inflation variation is attributable to non-monetary channels, which the regulator began actively reforming during the same period: liberalization of electricity and utility rates for businesses, elimination of subsidies, supply shocks in domestic food markets, and global logistics crises during the pandemic period (2020–2021).

Based on the quantitative parameters of the validated model, the following areas for reforming the policy of the Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan are proposed:

1) Managing the Pass-Through of Exchange Rate Movements to Consumer Prices. Monetary authorities must continuously monitor and mitigate volatility in the national currency in order to minimize the risks of exchange rate pass-through. Based on the exchange rate inflation elasticity coefficient identified in the model ($\beta 1 = 0.1059$), a 1% acceleration in the sum's devaluation translates into an increase in inflationary pressure of 0.11 percentage points. In practice, this channel functions as a hidden "import tax." Since Uzbekistan's economy remains structurally dependent on foreign raw materials, equipment, and consumer goods, any weakening of the sum is immediately factored into the final price for consumers by importers. Moreover, businesses often raise prices "with a margin," hedging against future currency risks. In this regard, the Central Bank is advised to employ targeted foreign exchange interventions. Their purpose is not to artificially prop up the exchange rate, but to preemptively quell speculative panic in the foreign exchange market, thereby preventing the emergence of inflationary expectations among businesses.

2) Optimizing the Management of Monetary Expansion, Taking Time Lags into Account. It is necessary to improve the mechanisms for absorbing excess liquidity in the banking

sector, taking into account the time-distributed nature of the transmission mechanism. The relatively low isolated impact of the annual growth of the M2 aggregate (β2= 0.0345) indicates that monetary impulses affect the economy over time rather than instantaneously. The money supply exhibits a “cumulative lag” effect. Funds put into circulation today (for example, through the financing of government programs or active preferential lending) do not lead to price increases the very next day. This money first passes through the banking system, turning into wages and business income, and only after 3–6 months enters the retail market in the form of excess demand that production cannot keep up with. To prevent this overheating, the Central Bank must act proactively. Instead of reactively tightening the screws after prices have already risen, the regulator should proactively “tie up” commercial banks’ excess liquidity (by issuing short-term Central Bank bonds or making deposit auctions more attractive), thereby isolating excess liquidity before it enters the consumer market.

3) Upgrading forecasting tools based on sub-annual decomposition. It is recommended that the analytical framework for macroeconomic forecasting shift from annual statistical aggregates to sub-annual operational intervals (monthly and quarterly panels). The relatively low coefficient of determination for the constructed model (R^2 = 37.3%) is due to the smoothing of intra-year fluctuations and the presence of sharp structural shifts. Annual statistics often mask and “blur” real market shocks, a striking example of which was the phenomenon of 2018. At that time, the official exchange rate on paper rose by 57%, while inflation amounted to only 14%, since the real sector of the economy had been oriented toward the “black market” dollar rate long before the reform. Linear regression mathematics treats such historical breaks as an “error,” which lowers the final R^2 . To clear forecasts of such distortions and account for seasonality, Central Bank analysts need to move down to the level of monthly modeling. This will allow them to quickly capture “hot” supply shocks-from seasonal spikes in agricultural prices to local changes in tariffs.

4) The Institutional Interplay Between Monetary and Fiscal Policy. Ensuring long-term price stability requires a shift from the Central Bank’s isolated policy approach to close interagency coordination with the Ministry of Economy and Finance. The fact that more than 60% of the variation in inflation within the model is accounted for by regression residuals (exogenous factors) clearly demonstrates the limitations of relying solely on interest rate instruments for regulation. The Central Bank cannot fight inflation on its own, as its nature in Uzbekistan is largely non-monetary. By raising the key rate, the Central Bank successfully curbs excess money in the market, but this measure is powerless against rising electricity and gas tariffs, the government budget deficit, logistical bottlenecks, or drought. Achieving the inflation target is possible only through the synergy of the two agencies: while the Central Bank controls the supply of sum in the economy, the government must strictly control budget expenditures, curb the appetites of natural monopolies, and implement structural reforms aimed at import substitution and the development of domestic competition.

CONCLUSION

This study conducts an econometric analysis of inflation trends in the Republic of Uzbekistan based on official statistics for 2018–2025. The correct transformation of variables into growth rates (using 2017 as the base year) eliminated the problem of "spurious regression" and confirmed the positive impact of devaluation and monetary expansion on consumer price growth. The coefficient of determination (R^2 = 37.3%) reflects the high share of structural, non-monetary components of inflation in a transition economy. The analysis revealed the specific nature of the 2018 reforms, when the technical unification of the exchange rate did not trigger a linear acceleration of the inflationary spiral. The developed equation can serve as a basic analytical framework for modeling medium-term trends in price dynamics, provided that the sample is expanded to include sub-annual intervals.

REFERENCES:

1. Macroeconomic model for analysis and forecasting of the Uzbekistan economy. URL: https://eabr.org/upload/iblock/64b/EDB_MMA_Uzbekistan_EN_2025_Working-Paper.pdf

2. Davlatov, Elyor & Sági, Judit, 2025. "From plan to market price: inflation drivers in New Uzbekistan," Public Finance Quarterly, Corvinus University of Budapest, vol. 71(3), pages 44-64. https://doi.org/10.35551/PFQ_2025_3_2

3. Maria Atamanchuk, Alejandro Hajdenberg, Dalia Kadissi, Giulio Lisi, and Nasir H Rao. "Drivers of Inflation in the Caucasus and Central Asia", IMF Working Papers 2025, 003 (2025), accessed 26.05.2026, https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400296031.001

4. Ghouse, Ghulam & Khan, Saud Ahmed & Rehman, Atiq. (2018). ARDL model as a remedy for spurious regression: problems, performance and prospectus.

5. Statistical data on exchange rates and inflation. URL :https://cbu.uz/ru/

6. Assessing Uzbekistan's Transition. World Bank Report. URL: https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/862261637233938240/pdf/Full-Report.pdf